



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Federal Communications Commission
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau
Washington, D.C. 20554

CGB

AUG 27 2003

Control No 0302479/kah-Pol

Mr Arthur J Williams
Byars & Associates
P O. Box 1308
1700 4th Avenue
Jasper, AL 35502

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2003

Dear Mr Williams

Thank you for your letter to Senator Richard Shelby regarding the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) recent amendment to the rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). Specifically, you express concern that, "without the proper input from the business and association community," the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an "established business relationship" constitutes the necessary express permission to send an unsolicited facsimile advertisement. You indicate that requiring such express permission to be in writing will place onerous burdens on associations that wish to fax their members.

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CG Docket No. 02-278, seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how. The NPRM sought comment on the option to establish a national do-not-call list, and how such action might be taken in conjunction with the national do-not-call registry rules adopted by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the numerous state do-not-call lists. In addition, the Commission sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax. The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules.

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA. As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive. Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times, including in the middle of the night.


2

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising. Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before transmitting any faxes to them. The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules were initially scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. Enclosed is the Commission's Report on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

We appreciate your comments. We have placed a copy of your correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions.

Sincerely,


K. Dane Snowden


Chief

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

Enclosures

cc: The Honorable Richard Shelby

RICHARD SHELBY
ALABAMA
U.S. SENATOR
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS
OFFICE
1000 GIBBS BUILDING
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203
205 731 1184
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35894
256 722 0414
113 S. JOSEPH ST.
445 U.S. COURTHOUSE
MOBILE, AL 36601
251 694-4164
ONE CHURCH ST.
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203
205 731 1184
1118 GREENSBORO AVENUE #240
TOMBALL, AL 35401
205 759 5047



STATE OFFICES

1807 FIFTH AVENUE
3RD FLOOR
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203
205 731 1184

HUNTSVILLE, AL 35894
1000 GIBBS BUILDING
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203
205 731 1184

113 S. JOSEPH ST.
445 U.S. COURTHOUSE
MOBILE, AL 36601
251 694-4164

ONE CHURCH ST.
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203
205 731 1184

1118 GREENSBORO AVENUE #240
TOMBALL, AL 35401
205 759 5047

CGB
RCPA
2479

Ms Sheryl J. Wilkerson
Office of Legislative Affairs
Federal Communications Commission
Room 8-C453
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Wilkerson:

Enclosed, please find a copy of correspondence I received from Arthur J. Williams.

Please review the enclosed and address the concerns raised. I have notified my constituent to expect a timely reply directly from you.

Sincerely,

Richard Shelby

Richard Shelby

RCS/sfm
Enclosure

21 AUG 2003 RCUD

[illegible]

WASHINGTON DC 20510-0103

Mr. Arthur J. Williams
Byars & Associates
Post Office Box 1309
Jasper, Alabama 35502

I have contacted the FCC on your behalf and have asked them to respond to your concerns. You should expect a reply to your concerns directly from the agency in a timely manner. Please do not hesitate to contact me about this or other matters in the future.

Richard Shelby

RCS / s fm

1800 111 4 2111 11
321 111 1
2051 111 111 111
2051 111 111

1000 G IN 41 000 H
 Fc x 20 77
 6000 000 000 000
 1 000 000 000 000

113 St. John St.,
445 U.S. Ct., 11th
Moore Al 36007
513-692-4164

Case 1:19-cv-05748
 Received 5/6/1
 Filed 5/6/17
 Page 1 of 1

1118 GUILLEN, BILLY, JR. U S A
T 2-10-54 AL 31461
17051 759-5047

**BYARS
& ASSOCIATES**

RECEIVED AUG 06 2003

152693
529739

INSURANCE

P.O. Box 1309
1700 4th Avenue
Jasper, Alabama 35502
www.byarsassociates.com
(205) 221-3621
Fax 221-8700

August 4, 2003

The Honorable Richard Shelby
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0103

Fax (202) 224-3416

Dear Senator Shelby

I am writing to alert you to the recent actions taken by the FCC to amend the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). The FCC has decided, without the proper input from the business and association community, to modify the current law by doing away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to fax advertisements. This amendment will place onerous administrative and economic burdens on associations by requiring "expressed written consent" from their own members prior to sending a fax advertisement. I hope you share in my concern over this onerous restriction of legitimate commercial activity.

The new FCC reading of the TCPA prohibits any person or entity from sending any fax that contains an unsolicited advertisement which is defined as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, good, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission". As a result, the established business relationship is no longer sufficient to permit faxes to be transmitted. Associations and businesses are now faced with the challenging administrative, legal, economic and record-keeping ramifications that will arise thanks to the new FCC changes.

The proposed changes, which are scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003 - 30 days after they were published in the Federal Register on July 25, 2003, will create a significant economic and labor-intensive burden for the association community. The adjustment in the TCPA will require signed written consent to allow faxes to be sent that contain unsolicited advertisements. It would even require written consent for faxes pertaining to events such as annual meetings.

While these changes may be suitable for residential telephone numbers as the new Do Not registry provides, they are certainly not acceptable for association-to-member facsimile communications. Associations rely on faxes as a prime source of communication and marketing to meet the needs of their members.

With penalties reaching \$11,000 per unauthorized fax, this is a burden that few associations can financially endure. The proposed FCC changes are a prime example of an idea where the disadvantages and unintended consequences far outweigh the benefits. Please join me in requesting that the FCC halt their efforts to change the current TCPA.

Yours very truly,



Arthur J. Williams

AJW/mp